In the Matter of:

US v. Kyler Schmitz

## **Motions**

December 16, 2016



Phone: 703-837-0076 Fax: 703-837-8118

Toll Free: 877-837-0077

1010 Cameron Street Alexandria, VA 22310 transcript@casamo.com

12/16/2016

US v. Kyler Schmitz

	US V. Kyler Schmitz 12/16/2016
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
3	(Alexandria Division)
4	
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
6	Plaintiff,
7	v. Case No. 1:16cr201
8	KYLER GEORGE SCHMITZ,
9	Defendant.
10	
11	Alexandria, Virginia
12	December 16, 2016
13	
14	
15	
16	The above-entitled matter came on to be
17	heard before the HONORABLE GERALD BRUCE LEE, Judge
18	in and for the United States District Court for the
19	Eastern Division of Virginia located at 401
20	Courthouse Square, Alexandria, Virginia, commencing
21	at 9:06 a.m., when were present on behalf of the
22	respective parties:

3 12/16/2016

US	v.	Kyler	Schmitz
----	----	-------	---------

	OD V. Ryler Beimitez	12/10/2010
1	APPEARANCES (continued):	
2		
3	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:	
4	ERIC R. NITZ, ESQUIRE	
5	MoloLamken, LLP	
6	600 New Hampshire Avenue, Northwest	
7	Washington, D.C. 20037	
8	(202) 556-2021	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
1		

	-
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	THE CLERK: 116 criminal 201, United States
4	versus Kyler George Schmitz.
5	MR. BERRANG: Good morning, Your Honor.
6	Alex Berrang on behalf of the United States.
7	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Berrang.
8	MR. BERRANG: Thank you.
9	MR. KAMES: Good morning, Your Honor.
10	Geremy Kames on behalf of Mr. Schmitz, along with
11	Eric Nitz with the firm of MoloLamken.
12	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Schmitz.
13	Good morning, Mr. Nitz, and Mr. Kames.
14	Mr. Kames, who is going to speak for
15	Mr. Schmitz?
16	MR. KAMES: Your Honor, if it's okay with
17	the Court, Mr. Nitz will argue the motion for
18	downward departure and then I will discuss the 3553A
19	factors.
20	THE COURT: All right. Well, let me ask
21	first, have you all reviewed the presentence report?
22	MR. KAMES: We have.

1	THE COURT: Any objection to the report?
2	MR. KAMES: None, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I'll
4	hear you on your motion for downward departure.
5	MR. KAMES: Thank you.
6	MR. NITZ: Good morning, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Nitz.
8	MR. KAMES: The Orlando Pulse nightclub
9	shooting was one of the worst mass shootings in the
10	history of the country and it prompted a visceral
11	emotional response in Mr. Schmitz. The tweets that
12	are at issue in this case were a single emotional
13	response to that to that event.
14	As Dr. Boyd explained in the report, it was
15	an impulsive, spontaneous reaction to something that
16	touched Mr. Schmitz very deeply. It was a misguided
17	attempt to foster public debate on a topic that he
18	cared about.
19	THE COURT: How many tweets were there and
20	over what period of time, Mr. Schmitz?
21	MR. KAMES: There were quite a few tweets,
22	Your Honor, and they ranged from as described in

the presentence report, from June 13th to, I 1 2 believe, June 18th. There may have been some tweets after that time period as well that were related to this, but there certainly were more than one tweet. There were --5 THE COURT: Well, I'm sure it's more than 6 7 I guess one of the questions I have is the U.S. Capitol Police went to visit Mr. Schmitz, 8 9 right? 10 MR. KAMES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And after that he continued to 11 tweet? 12 13 MR. KAMES: He did. 14 THE COURT: All right. So what makes this aberrant behavior, Mr. Schmitz? 15 16 It was a single response to MR. KAMES: 17 this -- this attack. It was a single attempt to process his emotions after the attack and it was a 18 19 marked deviation from how he conducted himself up to 20 that point. 21 Certainly -- I recognize that it's unusual 22 under these circumstances for a downward departure

1	to be granted; but if ever there's a case where it's
2	warranted it's this one, given the emotional nature
3	of Mr. Schmitz's reaction to those events and the
4	course of conduct that followed afterwards. It
5	really was a single continuous attempt to try and
6	process his emotions in light of that shooting.
7	THE COURT: Well, do the guidelines allow
8	me to take into account multiple violations to
9	determine aberrant behavior or is it just one
10	more than one?
11	MR. KAMES: The guideline the guideline
12	states that it has to be a single criminal
13	occurrence or a single criminal transaction; but in
14	light of this context and the uniqueness of the
15	circumstances, the entire course of conduct
16	following the shooting can be viewed as one single
17	criminal transaction.
18	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
19	MR. KAMES: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: All right.
21	MR. BERRANG: Thank you, Your Honor. The
22	Government believes what the what Your Honor was

driving at in the questions you asked defense 1 2 counsel is this notion what is a single criminal occurrence or single criminal transaction. 4 On that front the Government directs the Court's attention to a case that was cited in our 5 6 position paper, which is United States versus 7 Hillyer. And in that case, it involved illegal prop dredging. And there are multiple instances of 8 9 illegal prop dredging in that case. And as a 10 result, because it happened more than once, that 11 criminal act happened more than once in Hillyer, the Fourth Circuit said that a downward departure was 12 13 not appropriate. 14 The Government also --THE COURT: You didn't bring a charge for 15 every single threatening tweet, did you? 16 17 MR. BERRANG: We charged five tweets, Your 18 Honor. 19 THE COURT: But you could have charged 20, 20 right? 21 MR. BERRANG: I -- I don't believe we 22 could've charged 20, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: You could have charged every
2	single tweet that was threatening?
3	MR. BERRANG: We could have charged
4	there were there was potentially a few more
5	tweets we could have charged as threatening.
6	THE COURT: But you used your discretion
7	not to bring those charges?
8	MR. BERRANG: That's correct, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Well, why is this all one
10	transaction?
11	MR. BERRANG: Well, the Government's view
12	is that this was not one transaction, especially
13	when you view the course of conduct. You have an
14	initial tweet, which brings the U.S. Capitol Police
15	to the defendant's house. The defendant then has a
16	conversation with the Capitol Police about what he
17	has tweeted and is informed by Capitol Police that
18	his conduct is a crime. It's a crime to threaten
19	federal officials
20	THE COURT: There is nothing wrong with
21	being upset about the terrible tragedy at the Pulse
22	nightclub, no more than it is to be upset about what

1	happened at the Emanuel Church. There is nothing
2	unconstitutional about that, is there?
3	MR. BERRANG: Absolutely not, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: And one can be upset and angry
5	and disturbed by it.
6	MR. BERRANG: That's correct.
7	THE COURT: I've actually been to Emanuel
8	Church many times. I have friends who attend that
9	church. In fact, one was at that church the very
10	night of the murder and she had just left church
11	about an hour earlier. And had that man showed up
12	at the church an hour earlier, you would have had a
13	packed church because the church meeting was that
14	night.
15	But you can't just go out and threaten
16	government officials because you think the gun
17	control laws are lax, can you?
18	MR. BERRANG: No, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
20	MR. BERRANG: Thank you.
21	THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Nitz?
22	MR. KAMES: Just one quick point, Your

1	Honor. Nobody is saying that what Mr. Schmitz did
2	was okay. Nobody is saying that his response to
3	these attacks is how someone should process those
4	emotions. But the Court can certainly consider that
5	this was a spontaneous emotional response and that
6	all of these tweets resulted from that single
7	spontaneous emotional response and that this was
8	highly unique and highly unusual circumstances.
9	And Mr. Schmitz responded in a way that was
10	highly unusual given how he conducted himself in the
11	past. And for that reason, we'd ask the Court to
12	grant the downward departure.
13	THE COURT: All right. Let the record
14	reflect this matter is before the Court for
15	sentencing. The probation officer has properly
16	prepared the report. And the question presented is
17	whether or not the Court should grant a downward
18	departure from the applicable guidelines based upon
19	aberrant behavior on U.S. sentencing guidelines
20	5K2.20.
21	And the guideline provides, in exceptional
22	cases, a downward departure may be warranted where

the defendant committed a single criminal occurrence 1 or a single criminal transaction. One, that was 2 committed without significant planning. Two, that was in limited duration. And, three, that represents a marked deviation by the defendant from 5 an otherwise law-abiding life. And the Government 6 7 cited the Hillyer case and defense counsel has cited several cases as well. 8 9 First, let me say that I understand that 10 Mr. Schmitz has strong views about what happened and 11 I think that many people have strong views about what happened. The question here is whether his 12 13 multiple tweets, which were -- are now the subject 14 of this case, were a single criminal occurrence or single criminal transaction. 15 16 I'm sure that in Mr. Schmitz' mind all his 17 activity was directed at the Pulse nightclub tragedy, and I certainly would understand that. But 18 19 the challenge here is that even after being told by 20 the Capitol Police that he should not do these 21 things, he continued to do them. 22 So it seems to me that first this would not

qualify for downward departure because it was not a 1 2 single occurrence of a criminal transaction, it was not a limited duration. 3 4 It may well be a deviation from the defendant's otherwise law-abiding life. 5 certainly can address those issues under 3553A, but 6 7 for purposes of the guidelines calculation, my judgment is that the multiple threats over multiple 8 9 days -- and I also have considered the United States 10 versus Pierson case from the Ninth Circuit -- and my 11 judgment is that the motion for downward departure be denied. 12 13 Therefore, the applicable guideline range 14 here is a criminal history category, Category 1, offense level 14. The quideline range is 15 to 21 15 months and a one to three-year term supervised 16 release. 17 My understanding is and I've accepted a 18 19 plea agreement, which says that both sides agree to 20 no more than six months of incarceration, if incarceration is imposed, should be applicable in 21 22 this case.

Does the Government want to be heard on
sentencing in this matter, Mr. Berrang?
MR. BERRANG: Thank you, Your Honor.
Your Honor, as the Government noted in its
position paper, the request that we're putting forth
to the Court is for six months incarceration, three
years supervised release with computer monitoring,
and that's consistent with the plea agreement.
The defense counsel and defendant are
asking for a minimal sentence of probation. And the
Government believes that its difference in views of
what the appropriate sentence here is a reflection
of different views on what this conduct was and what
it was not. And in the Government's view, this was
not, as the defendant suggests, political activism.
It was not funny, where he's just testing jokes, and
it wasn't certainly excused by what others have
done.
What the defendant did was alarming, it was
disturbing and, most importantly, it was unlawful.
As the presentence report shows, the defendant used
clear, descriptive language; he threatened to shoot

1	three U.S. senators in the head, explaining his
2	desire to, quote, "Admire how a victim's brains are
3	going to splatter across the room."
4	And while defendant now claims that he had
5	no intention of carrying out his threats, the
6	Government submits that his words in June 2016
7	suggested otherwise. He transmitted tweets asking
8	others about how to get a gun. He even tweeted,
9	quote, that he was, quote, "Literally going to buy a
10	gun," end quote, and use it to shoot a U.S. Senator,
11	quote, "in the face."
12	The U.S. senators, the Senate staff, the
	The U.S. senators, the Senate staff, the general public on Twitter who saw these tweets, they
13	
12 13 14	general public on Twitter who saw these tweets, they
13 14 15	general public on Twitter who saw these tweets, they had no way of knowing whether the defendant wasn't
13 14	general public on Twitter who saw these tweets, they had no way of knowing whether the defendant wasn't actually serious as he now claims. And with respect
13 14 15 16	general public on Twitter who saw these tweets, they had no way of knowing whether the defendant wasn't actually serious as he now claims. And with respect to the culpability of the defendant versus other
13 14 15 16	general public on Twitter who saw these tweets, they had no way of knowing whether the defendant wasn't actually serious as he now claims. And with respect to the culpability of the defendant versus other individuals who have committed similar acts, the
13 14 15 16 17	general public on Twitter who saw these tweets, they had no way of knowing whether the defendant wasn't actually serious as he now claims. And with respect to the culpability of the defendant versus other individuals who have committed similar acts, the Government disagrees that the appropriate
13 14 15 16 17 18	general public on Twitter who saw these tweets, they had no way of knowing whether the defendant wasn't actually serious as he now claims. And with respect to the culpability of the defendant versus other individuals who have committed similar acts, the Government disagrees that the appropriate comparisons are the Jones, Turner, Leslie and Dalton

1	or single threats, rather. And in the case of
2	Jones, the threat that was transmitted was stated
3	generically and conditionally, if-then statements.
4	If we are to put the defendant's conduct on
5	a on a continuum where at one end you have the
6	Jones, Turner, Leslie, and Dalton case, the
7	Government submits that the other end of that
8	continuum would be the cases of Morton, Chesser, and
9	al-Khattab. Those cases were cases involving the
10	posting to a Web site in support of a violent jihad,
11	the solicitation of murder, among others the
12	creators of South Park and Jewish organizations.
13	The defendant here posted multiple,
14	specific threats, many of which were sent after
15	being interviewed by U.S. Capitol Police and told
16	that his conduct constituted a crime. The
17	Government submits that his conduct, if we were to
18	put it on that continuum, falls closer to the
19	Morton, Chesser and al-Khattab defense than to the
20	Jones, Turner, Leslie and Dalton defense.
21	And it should be noted that al-Khattab
22	received 30 months for using the Internet to place

1	another in fear of death or serious injury while
2	Morton, Chesser received 60 months for communicating
3	threats.
4	Now, the Government believes that the
5	appropriate sentence here is six months. Such a
6	sentence, in the Government's view, would
7	appropriately punish his conduct, promote respectful
8	law, and deter others from committing similar acts.
9	Because the reality here is what the defendant did
10	was not a protest, it was not the defendant
11	exercising his First Amendment rights. What it was
12	was the defendant trying to get what he wants, gun
13	control, through fear and coercion.
14	We live in a world where brazen threats of
15	violence over the Internet or in person are all too
16	common. The defendant's words were published on a
17	widely accessed social media platform and seen by an
18	unknowable number of people. His punishment today
19	should send a clear message to the victims, others
20	contemplating similar conduct, and to our country
21	that the making of unlawful threats, whether to
22	federal officials or to ordinary citizens, will not

- 1 be tolerated.
- 2 Thank you.
- THE COURT: All right. All right.
- 4 Mr. Kames, I'll hear from you.
- 5 MR. KAMES: Your Honor, as the Court knows,
- 6 | we've asked for a sentence of one year of probation.
- 7 And we believe that the key to understanding this
- 8 | case is reflected in the letters that have been
- 9 submitted on behalf of Mr. Schmitz, along with the
- 10 reports of Dr. Boyd and Dr. Golbeck.
- 11 First, let me speak about Kyler Schmitz.
- He's a 28-year-old young man who has never been in
- 13 | any significant trouble before in his life, who is
- 14 passionate about social justice. That's why he came
- 15 | to Alexandria in the first place from Minnesota to
- work for a public interest organization and advocate
- 17 | for the interest that he cares deeply about.
- 18 When he came seven years ago, he interned
- 19 | with a documentary film crew that produced a film
- 20 | called Bully, which was released in 2011. And it
- 21 documented the story of five kids and their families
- as they navigated the 2009/2010 school year. He

volunteered with the human rights campaign and civil 1 2 rights organization that's devoted to promoting equality for gay and transgender people. 3 4 There is also a letter that we've submitted from Shirley Ginwright, the president of the Fairfax 5 County NAACP, where Kyler has been a member for and 6 7 volunteered for the past two years. As Mr. Schmitz's fiancé writes: Social 8 9 justice is what Mr. Schmitz is passionate about. 10 His commitment to social justice is undoubtedly tied to his experiences as a young gay man who was 11 bullied and beaten up because of his sexual 12 13 orientation. 14 According to Dr. Boyd's report, his 15 negative experiences as a young man contributed to 16 Mr. Schmitz's desire to avoid direct confrontation, it hampered his ability to assert himself and 17 express negative emotions. These two facets of his 18 19 personality, his commitment to social justice and 20 his repressed desire to express himself, they found an outlet in social media. 21 22 But there is a third factor of his

personality that also contributed to this offense, 1 and that is the well-documented and lengthy history 2 of ADHD. People with ADHD typically have difficulty 3 inhibiting their impulsive behavior. They have a hard time maintaining attention, they have a hard 5 time organizing, they have discontrolled emotions. 6 And the combination of all of these elements in 7 Kyler's personality were exacerbated on the 8 9 Internet. As Dr. Boyd explained, Kyler found an 10 outlet for his emotions and his political activism 11 and in social media online. Unfortunately, the 12 13 Internet is characterized by several features that 14 promote impulsivity and disinhibition, such as anonymity, the ability to engage and disengage at 15 will, online cultures that value free expression 16 17 over anything else. In essence, his interpersonal and executive functioning -- functioning impairments 18 19 were dramatically amplified by the unique features of social media. 20 Now, let me speak about the offense 21 22 Professor Golbeck described Twitter in her conduct.

declaration as essentially the wild west of 1 2 communication. People make extraordinarily 3 disturbing and threatening statements on Twitter all the time, almost always using an anonymous account. And that's not to say that it's okay, but it is to 5 provide a context for the conduct in this case. As 6 7 she writes, this is -- well, she says that in the realm of communications on Twitter, this was at the 8 9 low end of the degree of threatening communications 10 that are made on Twitter. 11 To be clear, Kyler is not someone who has made threats on the Internet as a matter of course, 12 13 he is not some Internet troll who engaged in a 14 regular practice of making rude or inappropriate statements on Twitter or anywhere else. 15 16 As the Court knows, this conduct occurred 17 in the days following the worst mass shooting in modern American history where 49 mostly gay people 18 19 were shot to death by a qunman with an assault 20 rifle. 21 The Government suggests that Mr. Schmitz 22 may be merely spinning his conduct in the context of

the Pulse shooting, but there should be no doubt 1 that Mr. Schmitz was motivated by the same impulses 2 3 that have quided his interest in social justice 4 generally. When he was interviewed on June 15th by law enforcement, he cried when he told law 5 enforcement that he felt afraid to be in public 6 7 places. His fiancé wrote that Mr. Schmitz was 8 9 emotionally compromised by the shooting in Orlando. 10 His godmother wrote that, quote, "The reality that 11 the people at that club were slaughtered because they were assumed to be gay is a hard reality to 12 13 accept because it suggests that they were hated for 14 what they are and not who they are." Now, as the Court knows, none of that is an 15 excuse for the threats that Mr. Schmitz tweeted in 16 the days after the shooting. And the Government 17 18 says, I think accurately, that these threatening 19 tweets involved, quote, "clear and descriptive 20 language," but you can't look at these tweets in 21 isolation and have any understanding of the context 22 in which they were made.

Tweets, as the Court knows, are limited to
140 characters. And they're because they're so
short, they're often linked together with other
messages. And just like you can't take a page from
the middle of a book and understand what that book
is trying to say, you can't look at one tweet, the
tweets that the Government identified in the
charging document, and understand that those tweets
are all of what Mr. Schmitz was trying to convey.
And I'd like to make three points that are
mitigating this case. First, the nature of the
statements at issue in the context of Twitter were
not extreme. Professor Golbeck explains that the
statements at issue in this case, when taken in the
context of the original post indicating them as an
online image-oriented protest, are relatively mild
in comparison. They fall at the lower end of
threatening communications that occur on Twitter.
And she says that because the messages were
linked to an initial post in which the @Chirperson
account said, quote, "I would never buy a gun. No

one should ever need to. That said, I'm going to

1	shoot some gif or image guns at republicans."
2	And so when the Government says there was
3	no way of knowing for the recipients of these
4	communications, so the people who work with the
5	individuals who were mentioned, there is no way of
6	knowing whether this was a real or not a real
7	threat. But there is a way, and that is to look at
8	all the statements in context and the original post
9	that they were linked to. That is not to say that
10	this is not unlawful. It is to provide context for
11	these statements.
12	Second point, by all accounts, Mr. Schmitz
13	had no intention of actually carrying out any
14	threat. He is adamantly against guns. He has never
15	touched one. He made no serious effort to ever get
16	one.
17	The third point is that this offense was
18	not motivated by a desire for money or personal
19	animosity towards someone that Mr. Schmitz
20	personally knows. That is often the context of
21	threats, so it could be against a former loved one
22	or for some other nefarious reason. This is someone

- who is deeply affected and angered by the worst mass 1 shooting in modern American history. 2 3 And given his documented inability or 4 difficulty in controlling his impulses, as Dr. Boyd said, in an environment where his impairments were 5 dramatically amplified, he expressed himself in a 6 way that he now realizes was the worst thing he's 7 ever done. 8 9 I will say that they're -- and I think --10 and it's very clear in the presentence report, there 11 has been a marked changed in Mr. Schmitz since he was arrested in this case. He hasn't touched 12 13 alcohol since June of this year, he's progressed in 14 counseling, he's found a job that he enjoys. started to think about his future career. 15 16 He is also now a convicted felon. He's 17 been on house arrest for months. He lost his job as 18 an Uber driver. He was unable to attend a close
- he's already been punished in significant ways.

family's wedding -- family member's wedding.

21 As for the Government's recommendation for 22 a sentence of incarceration, I suggest that it would

19

do more harm than good. It would require 1 Mr. Schmitz, of course, to lose his job. And he is 2 precisely the type of person who would not benefit 3 from incarceration and could be victimized in that context. 5 There is absolutely zero evidence that a 6 7 sentence of incarceration would have a greater deterrent effect on him than the prosecution itself. 8 9 And in terms of the public generally, suggesting 10 that this case is going to stop threats on Twitter is like trying to put a Band-Aid on a firehose. 11 A six-month sentence would cost the 12 13 government about \$15,000 and it would get very little in return for that investment. 14 A sentence of probation with a condition 15 that he be monitored by probation along with the 16 17 other standard conditions then -- when I say "monitored," I mean a monitoring of his -- his 18 19 social media activity, would be sufficient to 20 satisfy the purposes of sentencing in this case. 21 Again, the underlying conduct arose because 22 of a unique event. There is no indication that

Mr. Schmitz would ever engage in this type of 1 behavior again. He has fully complied, for example, 2 3 with the pretty strict conditions of pretrial release that he's been under. Such a sentence would also --5 THE COURT: How long has he been on 6 7 pretrial release, Mr. Kames? MR. KAMES: He's been on release -- I think 8 9 he was brought in on June 25th, he spent four days 10 in jail and -- since that time. So at the end of 11 June, he's been on pretrial release. THE COURT: More than five months now? 12 13 MR. KAMES: That's right. 14 THE COURT: Okay. MR. KAMES: And such a sentence would also 15 be consistent with the series of cases that we 16 17 outlined that have also involved similar single 18 count charges of making threats. 19 Now, the Government points to some very 20 different cases. The Morton case, the Chesser case, 21 off the top, some of those involve very different 22 allegations of conduct, conduct -- conduct involving

very different and much more serious violations of 1 the federal code, including terrorism offenses. 2 That's not this case. 4 Under the circumstances here, where you have an individual who is passionate about social 5 justice, has conditions that are documented and that 6 7 we've presented to the Court that suggest that he was disinhibited in the Internet environment, and in 8 9 the context of the unique event of this mass 10 shooting using an assault rifle, we have done enough in this case to deter Mr. Schmitz and others who may 11 be in a similar situation. 12 13 And for those reasons, Your Honor, we 14 believe that a year of probation is sufficient and not greater than necessary to satisfactory the 15 purposes of sentencing. 16 17 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Schmitz, if you'll come up, please. 18 19 Schmitz, how long were you in jail? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Four -- four or five days. 21 THE COURT: Have you ever been to jail 22 before?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: How long were you in jail
3	before?
4	THE DEFENDANT: No longer than a night.
5	THE COURT: One night?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
7	THE COURT: Is there any statement that you
8	want to make on your own behalf?
9	THE DEFENDANT: This was an incredibly
10	stupid thing for me to do. It was
11	counterproductive, it was immature, it was damaging.
12	I'm very sorry that I did it and I will not be doing
13	this ever again.
14	THE COURT: Since Schmitz pled guilty to
15	interstate threatening communication and I have
16	reviewed the submissions of your lawyer and the
17	Government and the letter submitted from your family
18	members, your mother's letter, from your father,
19	from your aunt, your fiancé, Ms. Roe, Ms. Ginwright
20	from the NAACP, and the manager of your restaurant,
21	I've read them.
22	Here is the thing, Mr. Schmitz, you heard
	I.

1	me say a moment ago that I have strong views about
2	what happened in Emanuel Church, but there are
3	limits on what you can do. And I think that what
4	happened here, as I view the context, the Pulse
5	nightclub shooting was a horrible tragedy, not just
6	for the families of the victims who were murdered
7	and slaughtered, but for a whole community, a whole
8	nation who mourn for what happened there.
9	And, as you know, because you were active
10	in social justice, the way to change things is
11	through voting, is through petitions, is through
12	protest, but it is not to threaten members of
13	Congress, it is not to threaten members of the
14	Senate, it's not to threaten anyone else. That
15	won't change anything.
16	And I've also considered the fact that
17	these individuals are in public office. I guess
18	they're used to having people despise their views,
19	disagree with their views, but they're not used to
20	being threatened with death or their brains being
21	splattered on the sidewalk. That is not something
22	that anyone should have to endure and the person who

1	nas (inaudible) protest. And this was not a
2	protest. This was a very dangerous threat series
3	of threats that you made.
4	My question now is whether to send you to
5	prison for a long period of time, whether that will
6	punish you sufficiently, whether that will somehow
7	make the members of Congress who were threatened
8	feel safe as you were off the streets. And also
9	whether to consider your your mental health,
10	which your lawyer has provided me information about
11	your ADHD and how that may impact impulsive
12	behavior. And, of course, we all know that Twitter
13	is a place that people are frequently communicating
14	ideas and issues, including the new president that
15	seems to be there every single day. So but you
16	can't use it the way that you did here.
17	As I look over your whole life, and this is
18	a situation where I look over your whole life, I
19	don't think this is consistent with the person
20	you've been and I don't think that putting you in
21	prison for an additional period of time is going to
22	be sufficient punishment.

1	But let me caution you, this is a very
2	serious offense; and if I do what your lawyer asks,
3	what you should know is if I were to see you again
4	for any reason whatsoever, drunk driving, fights on
5	the street, anything like that, I will put you in
6	prison. Not jail, prison.
7	So here is what I'm going to do. I think
8	that the guidelines here even the Government felt
9	that the guidelines are excessive. I agree to a
10	six-month sentence. And you have completed five
11	months of home confinement, which suggests to me
12	that you're a person who conforms his behavior and
13	adheres to the rules.
14	So I'm going to place you on two years of
15	active probation, require you to be on home
16	confinement for an additional 90 days. And as along
17	as you adhere to the rules for home confinement, you
18	adhere to the rules of probation for two years, at
19	the end of 12 months, I'll review the case. And if
20	your lawyer and the Government and probation officer
21	tells me there's no point of keeping you on
22	probation another year, I'll consider early

But what you should know is 90 days of 1 termination. 2 home confinement are the same terms and conditions 3 that you have for pretrial release, which is that 4 you cannot leave your place of residence except for employment and activities approved in advance by the 5 probation officer. 6 7 That you comply with the requirements of computer monitoring as administered by the probation 8 9 officer, which means that software will be installed 10 on your computer or any smart phone you use, and 11 inspection will be performed at random by the probation office and you are not to remove the 12 13 software or tamper with it. 14 I think it's time for you to stay off Twitter and Facebook and all those other things. 15 there'll be no social media, and you should not have 16 17 any accounts. And I don't know all the names of 18 I bet you do. Instagram, there's another --19 there is five or six of them, I don't want you on 20 any of them. 21 And I want you participating in mental 22 health treatment at the direction of the probation

officer. And you're required to waive 1 2 confidentiality regarding any mental health treatment, release information to the probation 3 4 office, and cost of the program to be paid by you based upon your ability to pay, and directed by the 5 probation office. 6 7 And I'm going to have you participate in a substance abuse testing and treatment, not because I 8 9 think you have a problem, I want to make sure that 10 you don't have a problem and that alcohol abuse has 11 not become a problem. I've seen some indication of excessive use of alcohol and I want to make sure you 12 13 understand that that's not going to be tolerated. 14 So to be clear, I'm placing you on two years of active probation, home confinement for an 15 additional 90 days. And you've already served 16 17 almost five months. So I'm assuming you can do this and not break the rules. But if you break the rules 18 19 and you come back, I'll put you in prison. Do you 20 understand? 21 I'm not going to impose any fine, cost of

22

incarceration, cost of supervision. And I'll assess

```
$100, and that will be paid right away. Thank you.
 1
 2
     You're excused.
 3
              MR. KAMES: Thank you, Your Honor.
 4
               (Whereupon, the proceedings at 9:38 a.m.
 5
     were concluded.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

1	COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA AT LARGE, to wit:
2	I, REBECCA TREXLER, Court Reporter and
3	Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of
4	Virginia at Large, and whose commission expires
5	August 31, 2021, do certify that the foregoing is a
6	true, correct, and full transcript of the
7	proceedings.
8	I further certify that I am neither related
9	to nor associated with any counsel or party to the
10	proceedings; nor otherwise interested in the event
11	thereof.
12	
13	Rebear Trexle
14	Ouguor 11 - poo
15	
16	Rebecca Trexler
17	Notary Public
18	Commonwealth of Virginia at Large
19	Notary No. 7243327
20	
21	
22	

	US V. KYI		12/16/2016
WORD INDEX	<b>49</b> 21: <i>18</i>	activism 14:15	Appearances 2:22
WORDINDEA	49 21.10		
	_	20:11	3:1
<\$>	<5>	activities 33:5	applicable 11:18
<b>\$100</b> 35: <i>1</i>	<b>500</b> 2:16	activity 12:17	13:13, 21
<b>\$15,000</b> 26: <i>13</i>	<b>556-2021</b> 3:8	26:19	appropriate 8:13
, ,	<b>5K2.20</b> 11:20	acts 15:17 17:8	14:12 15:18 17:5
<1>		adamantly 24:14	appropriately 17:7
1 13:14	<6>	additional 31:21	approved 33:5
<b>1:16cr201</b> 1:7	60 17:2	32:16 34:16	argue 4:17
<b>116</b> 4:3	<b>600</b> 3:6	address 13:6	arose 26:21
<b>12</b> 32:19	<b>600-0800</b> 2:18	<b>ADHD</b> 20:3, 3	arrest 25:17
<b>13th</b> 6: <i>1</i>	<b>600-0880</b> 2:19	31:11	arrested 25:12
<b>14</b> 13: <i>15</i>		<b>adhere</b> 32:17, 18	<b>asked</b> 8:1 18:6
<b>140</b> 23:2	< 7 >	<b>adheres</b> 32:13	asking 14:10 15:7
<b>15</b> 13: <i>15</i>	<b>703</b> 2:10, 18, 19	administered 33:8	asks 32:2
15th 22:4	<b>7243327</b> 36:19	Admire 15:2	assault 21:19
<b>16</b> 1:12	7216627 36.13	advance 33:5	28:10
<b>1650</b> 2:15	<9>	advance 33.3 advocate 18:16	<b>assert</b> 19:17
18th 6:2	<b>9:06</b> 1:21	afraid 22:6	assess 34:22
16th 0.2			
	9:38 35:4	<b>ago</b> 18:18 30:1	Assistant 2:5
<2>	<b>90</b> 32:16 33:1	<b>agree</b> 13:19 32:9	associated 36:9
<b>20</b> 8:19, 22	34:16	agreement 13:19	assumed 22:12
<b>20037</b> 3:7		14:8	assuming 34:17
<b>2009</b> 18:22	< A >	alarming 14:19	<b>attack</b> 6:17, 18
<b>201</b> 4:3	<b>a.m</b> 1:21 35:4	<b>alcohol</b> 25:13	attacks 11:3
<b>2010</b> 18:22	<b>aberrant</b> 6:15 7:9	34:10, 12	attempt 5:17
<b>2011</b> 18:20	11:19	<b>Alex</b> 4:6	6:17 7:5
<b>2016</b> 1:12 15:6	<b>ability</b> 19:17	ALEXANDER 2:4	attend 10:8 25:18
<b>2010</b> 1.72 13.0 <b>202</b> 3:8	20:15 34:5	<b>Alexandria</b> 1:3, 11,	attention 8:5 20:5
		' '	
<b>2021</b> 36:5	above-entitled 1:16	20 2:9, 17 18:15	Attorney 2:5
<b>21</b> 13: <i>15</i>	Absolutely 10:3	al-Khattab 16:9,	Attorney's 2:6
<b>2100</b> 2:8	26:6	19, 21	August 36:5
<b>22314</b> 2:9, 17	<b>abuse</b> 34:8, 10	allegations 27:22	<b>aunt</b> 29:19
<b>25th</b> 27:9	accept 22:13	allow 7:7	<b>Avenue</b> 2:8 3:6
<b>28-year-old</b> 18: <i>12</i>	accepted 13:18	Amendment 17:11	<b>avoid</b> 19: <i>16</i>
<b>299-3700</b> 2: <i>10</i>	accessed 17:17	AMERICA 1:5	
	<b>account</b> 7:8 21:4	American 21:18	< B >
<3>	23:21	25:2	<b>back</b> 34:19
<b>30</b> 16:22	accounts 24:12	amplified 20:19	<b>Band-Aid</b> 26:11
<b>31</b> 36:5	33:17	25:6	based 11:18 34:5
<b>3553A</b> 4:18 13:6			beaten 19:12
3333A 4.10 13.0	accurately 22:18	angered 25:1	
	act 8:11	angry 10:4	behalf 1:21 2:3,
<4>	active 30:9 32:15	animosity 24:19	12 3:3 4:6, 10
<b>401</b> 1:19	34:15	anonymity 20:15	18:9 29:8
		anonymous 21:4	

7.0 11.10 20.4
7:9 11:19 20:4
27:2 31: <i>12</i> 32: <i>12</i> <b>believe</b> 6:2 8:2 <i>1</i>
18:7 28:14
<b>believes</b> 7:22 14:11 17:4
benefit 26:3
BERRANG 2:4
4:5, 6, 7, 8 7:21
8:17, 21 9:3, 8, 11
10:3, 6, 18, 20
14:2, 3
bet 33:18
book 23:5, 5
<b>Boyd</b> 5:14 18:10
20:10 25:4
<b>Boyd's</b> 19: <i>14</i> <b>brains</b> 15:2 30:20
<b>brazen</b> 17: <i>14</i>
<b>break</b> 34:18, 18
<b>bring</b> 8:15 9:7
<b>brings</b> 9:14
brought 27:9
<b>BRUCE</b> 1:17
<b>bullied</b> 19: <i>12</i>
<b>Bully</b> 18:20
<b>buy</b> 15:9 23:21
< C >
calculation 13:7
<b>called</b> 18:20
campaign 19:1
<b>Capitol</b> 6:8 9:14,
16, 17 12:20 16:15
<b>cared</b> 5:18
career 25:15
cares 18: <i>17</i>
carrying 15:5
24:13

behavior 6:15

26:10, 20 27:20, 20 28:3, 11 32:19 cases 11:22 12:8 15:21, 22 16:8, 9, 9 27:16, 20 Category 13:14, 14 caution 32:1 **certainly** 6:4, 21 11:4 12:18 13:6 14:17 **certify** 36:5, 8 challenge 12:19 **change** 30:10, 15 **changed** 25:11 characterized 20:13 characters 23:2 **charge** 8:15 **charged** 8:17, 19, 22 9:1, 3, 5 **charges** 9:7 27:18 charging 23:8 **Chesser** 16:8, 19 17:2 27:20 Chirperson 23:20 **Church** 10:1, 8, 9, 9, 10, 12, 13, 13 30:2 Circuit 8:12 13:10 circumstances 6:22 7:15 11:8 28:4 cited 8:5 12:7, 7 cites 15:20 citizens 17:22 **civil** 19:*1* claims 15:4, 15 clear 14:22 17:19 21:11 22:19 25:10 34:14 **CLERK** 4:3 **close** 25:18 **closer** 16:18

**club** 22:11 **code** 28:2 **coercion** 17:*13* combination 20:7 come 28:18 34:19 commencing 1:20 commission 36:4 commitment 19:10, 19 **committed** 12:1, 3 15:17 committing 17:8 **common** 17:16 COMMONWEAL **TH** 36:1, 3, 18 communicating 17:2 31:13 communication 21:2 29:15 communications 21:8, 9 23:18 24:4 community 30:7 comparison 23:17 comparisons 15:19 completed 32:10 complied 27:2 **comply** 33:7 compromised 22:9 computer 14:7 33:8, 10 concluded 35:5 condition 26:15 conditionally 16:3 conditions 26:17 27:3 28:6 33:2 **conduct** 7:4, 15 9:13, 18 14:13 16:4, 16, 17 17:7, 20 20:22 21:6, 16, 22 26:21 27:22, 22, 22 conducted 6:19 11:10

confidentiality 34:2 confinement 32:11, 16, 17 33:2 34:15 **conforms** 32:12 confrontation 19:16 **Congress** 30:*13* 31:7 consider 11:4 31:9 32:22 considered 13:9 30:16 consistent 14:8 27:16 31:19 constituted 16:16 contemplating 17:20 context 7:14 21:6, 22 22:21 23:12, *15* 24:8, *10*, *20* 26:5 28:9 30:4 continued 2:22 3:1 6:11 12:21 continuous 7:5 **continuum** 16:5, 8, 18 contributed 19:15 20:1 **control** 10:*17* 17:13 controlling 25:4 conversation 9:16 **convey** 23:9 convicted 25:16 **correct** 9:8 10:6 36:6 cost 26:12 34:4, 21, 22 could've 8:22 **counsel** 8:2 12:7 14:9 36:9 counseling 25:14 **count** 27:18

Case 1:7 5:12

6 18:8 21:6

23:11, 14 25:12

7:1 8:5, 7, 9 12:7, 14 13:10, 22 16:1,

12/16/2016
2:7  effect 26:8  effort 24:15  elements 20:7  Emanuel 10:1, 7  30:2  emotional 5:11, 12
7:2 11:5, 7 <b>emotionally</b> 22:9 <b>emotions</b> 6:18  7:6 11:4 19:18  20:6, 11 <b>employment</b> 33:5
endure 30:22 enforcement 22:5, 6 engage 20:15 27:1 engaged 21:13 enjoys 25:14 entire 7:15
environment 25:5 28:8 equality 19:3 ERIC 3:4 4:11 especially 9:12 ESQUIRE 2:4, 13
3:4 essence 20:17 essentially 21:1 event 5:13 26:22 28:9 36:10 events 7:3
evidence 26:6 exacerbated 20:8 example 27:2 exceptional 11:21 excessive 32:9 34:12
excuse 22:16 excused 14:17 35:2 executive 20:18 exercising 17:11 experiences 19:11,

counterproductive
_
29:11
<b>country</b> 5:10
17:20
<b>County</b> 19:6
course 7:4, 15
9:13 21:12 26:2
31:12
<b>COURT</b> 1:1, 18
4:7, 12, 17, 20 5:1,
3, 7, 19 6:6, 11, 14
7:7, 18, 20 8:15,
19 9:1, 6, 9, 20
10:4, 7, 19, 21
11:4, 11, 13, 14, 17
14:6 18:3, 5
14:6 18:3, 5 21:16 22:15 23:1
27:6, 12, 14 28:7,
17, 21 29:2, 5, 7,
14 36:2
Courthouse 1:20
Court's 8:5
creators 16:12
crew 18:19
cried 22:5
<b>crime</b> 9:18, 18
16: <i>16</i>
<b>criminal</b> 4:3 7:12,
13, 17 8:2, 3, 11
12:1, 2, 14, 15
13:2, 14
culpability 15:16
cultures 20:16
20.10
< D >

<D>
D.C 3:7

Dalton 15:19
16:6, 20
damaging 29:11
dangerous 31:2
day 31:15
days 13:9 21:17
22:17 27:9 28:20
32:16 33:1 34:16

US v. Kyl
<b>death</b> 17:1 21:19 30:20
debate 5:17
December 1:12
declaration 21:1
<b>deeply</b> 5:16
18: <i>17</i> 25: <i>1</i>
Defendant 1:9
2:12 3:3 9:15
12: <i>1</i> , <i>5</i> 14:9, <i>15</i> ,
19, 21 15:4, 14, 16,
20 16:13 17:9, 10,
12 28:20 29:1, 4,
6, 9
defendants 15:20
defendant's 9:15
13:5 16:4 17:16
Defender 2:14
<b>defense</b> 8:1 12:7
14:9 16:19, 20
degree 21:9
<b>denied</b> 13:12
departure 4:18
5:4 6:22 8:12
11:12, 18, 22 13:1,
11
described 5:22
20:22
descriptive 14:22
22:19
desire 15:2 19:16,
20 24:18
<b>despise</b> 30:18
deter 17:8 28:11
determine 7:9
deterrent 26:8
deviation 6:19
12:5 13:4
devoted 19:2
difference 14:11
different 14:13
27:20, 21 28:1
difficulty 20:3
25:4
11 4 10 16

**direct** 19:16

directed 12:17 34:5 direction 33:22 directs 8:4 disagree 30:19 disagrees 15:18 discontrolled 20:6 discretion 9:6 discuss 4:18 disengage 20:15 disinhibited 28:8 disinhibition 20:14 **DISTRICT** 1:1, 2, 18 2:7 disturbed 10:5 disturbing 14:20 21:3 **Division** 1:3, 19 document 23:8 documentary 18:19 documented 18:21 25:3 28:6 doing 29:12 **doubt** 22:1 downward 4:18 5:4 6:22 8:12 11:12, 17, 22 13:1, 11 **Dr** 5:14 18:10, 10 19:14 20:10 25:4 dramatically 20:19 25:6 dredging 8:8, 9 **driver** 25:18 **driving** 8:1 32:4 **drunk** 32:4 duration 12:4 13:3 < E > earlier 10:11, 12 early 32:22

**EASTERN** 1:2, 19

15	<b>first</b> 4:21 12:9, 22	Ginwright 19:5	hampered 19:17
expires 36:4	17:11 18:11, 15	29:19	Hampshire 3:6
explained 5:14	23:11	given 7:2 11:10	happened 8:10, 11
20:10	<b>five</b> 8:17 18:21	25:3	10:1 12:10, 12
explaining 15:1	27:12 28:20	<b>go</b> 10:15	30:2, 4, 8
explains 23:13	32:10 33:19	godmother 22:10	hard 20:5, 5
express 19:18, 20	34:17	going 4:14 15:3,	22:12
expressed 25:6	followed 7:4	9 23:22 26:10	harm 26:1
expression 20:16	following 2:22	31:21 32:7, 14	hated 22:13
extraordinarily	7:16 21:17	34:7, 13, 21	head 15:1
21:2	foregoing 36:5	Golbeck 18:10	health 31:9 33:22
<b>extreme</b> 23:13	<b>former</b> 24:21	20:22 23:13	34:2
	<b>forth</b> 14:5	<b>Good</b> 4:5, 7, 9, 12,	hear 5:4 18:4
< F >	<b>foster</b> 5:17	13 5:6, 7 26:1	<b>heard</b> 1:17 14:1
face 15:11	<b>found</b> 19:20	Government 7:22	29:22
Facebook 33:15	20:10 25:14	8:4, 14 10:16	<b>highly</b> 11:8, 8, 10
<b>facets</b> 19:18	<b>four</b> 27:9 28:20,	12:6 14:1, 4, 11	<b>Hillyer</b> 8:7, 11
<b>fact</b> 10:9 30:16	20	15:6, 18 16:7, 17	12:7
<b>factor</b> 19:22	<b>Fourth</b> 8:12	17:4 21:21 22:17	history 5:10
factors 4:19	<b>free</b> 20:16	23:7 24:2 26:13	13:14 20:2 21:18
Fairfax 19:5	frequently 31:13	27:19 29:17 32:8,	25:2
<b>fall</b> 23:17	friends 10:8	20	home 32:11, 15,
<b>falls</b> 16:18	front 8:4	Government's	17 33:2 34:15
<b>families</b> 18:21	<b>full</b> 36:6	9:11 14:14 15:21	<b>Honor</b> 4:5, 9, 16
30:6	<b>fully</b> 27:2	17:6 25:21	5:2, 6, 22 6:10
<b>family</b> 25:19	functioning 20:18,	grant 11:12, 17	7:19, 21, 22 8:18,
29:17	18	granted 7:1	22 9:8 10:3, 18
<b>family's</b> 25:19	<b>funny</b> 14:16	greater 26:7	11:1 14:3, 4 18:5
<b>father</b> 29:18	<b>further</b> 10:21	28:15	28:13 29:1 35:3
fax 2:19	36:8	guess 6:7 30:17	HONORABLE
fear 17:1, 13	<b>future</b> 25:15	guided 22:3	1:17
features 20:13, 19		guideline 7:11, 11	horrible 30:5
Federal 2:14 9:19	<g></g>	11:21 13:13, 15	hour 10:11, 12
17:22 28:2	gay 19:3, 11	guidelines 7:7	house 9:15 25:17
feel 31:8	21:18 22:12	11:18, 19 13:7	<b>human</b> 19: <i>1</i>
felon 25:16	general 15:13	32:8, 9	
felt 22:6 32:8	generally 22:4	guilty 29:14	<i></i>
<b>fiancé</b> 19:8 22:8	26:9	gun 10:16 15:8	ideas 31:14
29:19	generically 16:3	17:12 23:21	identified 23:7
<b>fights</b> 32:4	GEORGE 1:8 4:4	gun, 15:10	<b>if-then</b> 16:3
<b>film</b> 18:19, 19 <b>fine</b> 34:21	<b>GERALD</b> 1:17 <b>GEREMY</b> 2:13	gunman 21:19	<b>illegal</b> 8:7, 9
firehose 26:11	4:10	guns 24:1, 14	image 24:1 image-oriented
firm 4:11	<b>gif</b> 24:1	< H >	23:16
4.11	gii 24.1	\ II >	23.10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	OD V. KYIV			
immature 29:11	interested 36:10	5:2, 5, 8, 21 6:10,	limited 12:4 13:3	
<b>impact</b> 31:11	interned 18:18	13, 16 7:11, 19 23:1		
impairments	Internet 16:22	10:22 18:4, 5	<b>limits</b> 30:3	
20:18 25:5	17:15 20:9, 13	27:7, 8, 13, 15 35:3	linked 23:3, 20	
importantly 14:20	21:12, 13 28:8	<b>keeping</b> 32:21	24:9	
impose 34:21	interpersonal	key 18:7	Literally 15:9	
imposed 13:21	20:17	kids 18:21	little 26:14	
impulses 22:2	interstate 29:15	King 2:15	live 17:14	
25:4	interviewed 16:15	know 30:9 31:12	<b>LLP</b> 3:5	
impulsive 5:15	22:4	32:3 33:1, 17	located 1:19	
20:4 31:11	investment 26:14	<b>knowing</b> 15:14	long 27:6 28:19	
impulsivity 20:14	involve 27:21	24:3, 6	29:2 31:5	
inability 25:3	involved 8:7	knows 18:5 21:16	longer 29:4	
inappropriate	15:22 22:19	22:15 23:1 24:20	look 22:20 23:6	
21:14	27:17	<b>KYLER</b> 1:8 4:4	24:7 31:17, 18	
inaudible 31:1	involving 16:9	18:11 19:6 20:10	lose 26:2	
incarceration	27:22	21:11	lost 25:17	
13:20, 21 14:6	isolation 22:21	<b>Kyler's</b> 20:8	loved 24:21	
25:22 26:4, 7	issue 5:12 23:12,		low 21:9	
34:22	14	<l></l>	lower 23:17	
including 28:2	issues 13:6 31:14	language 14:22		
31: <i>14</i>	its 14:4, 11	language, 22:20	< M >	
incredibly 29:9		<b>LARGE</b> 36:1, 4,	maintaining 20:5	
indicates 15:22	< J >	18	making 17:21	
indicating 23:15	jail 27:10 28:19,	law 17:8 22:5, 5	21: <i>14</i> 27: <i>18</i>	
indication 26:22	21 29:2 32:6	law-abiding 12:6	man 10:11 18:12	
34:11	Jamieson 2:8	13:5	19: <i>11</i> , <i>15</i>	
individual 28:5	Jewish 16:12	laws 10:17	manager 29:20	
individuals 15:17	jihad 16:10	lawyer 29:16	marked 6:19	
24:5 30:17	job 25:14, 17 26:2	31:10 32:2, 20		
information 31:10	jokes 14:16	lax 10:17	mass 5:9 21:17	
34:3	Jones 15:19 16:2,	leave 33:4 25:1 28:9		
informed 9:17	6, 20	LEE 1:17 matter 1:16		
inhibiting 20:4	Judge 1:17	left 10:10 11:14 14:2		
initial 9:14 23:20	judgment 13:8, 11	lengthy 20:2	mean 26:18	
injury 17:1	June 6:1, 2 15:6	Leslie 15:19 16:6,	means 33:9	
inspection 33:11	22:4 25:13 27:9,	20	media 17:17	
Instagram 33:18	11	letter 19:4 29:17,	19:21 20:12, 20	
installed 33:9	justice 18:14 19:9,	18	26:19 33:16	
instances 8:8	10, 19 22:3 28:6	letters 18:8	meeting 10:13	
intention 15:5	30:10	level 13:15	member 19:6	
24: <i>13</i>	50.10	life 12:6 13:5	members 29:18	
	· V	18: <i>13</i> 31: <i>17</i> , <i>18</i>	30:12, 13 31:7	
interest 18:16, 17 22:3	<b>KAMES</b> 2:13 4:9,	light 7:6, 14	member's 25:19	

		_
12/16	/201	6

mental 31:9
33:21 34:2
mentioned 24:5
merely 21:22
message 17:19
messages 23:4, 19
middle 23:5
mild 23:16
<b>mind</b> 12:16
<b>minimal</b> 14:10
Minnesota 18:15
misguided 5:16
mitigating 23:11
<b>modern</b> 21:18
25:2
MoloLamken 3:5
4:11
moment 30:1
money 24:18
monitored 26:16
<b>monitored</b> , 26:18
monitoring 14:7
26:18 33:8
months 13:16, 20
14:6 16:22 17:2,
5 25:17 27:12
32:11, 19 34:17
morning 4:5, 7, 9,
12, 13 5:6, 7
<b>Morton</b> 16:8, 19 17:2 27:20
mother's 29:18
motion 4:17 5:4
13: <i>11</i>
motivated 22:2
24:18
mourn 30:8
multiple 7:8 8:8
12: <i>13</i> 13:8, 8
16:13
murder 10:10
16: <i>11</i>
murdered 30:6
<del> </del>
< N >

US V. KYI
<b>NAACP</b> 19:6
29:20
names 33:17
nation 30:8
nature 7:2 23:11
navigated 18:22
necessary 28:15
need 23:22
nefarious 24:22
<b>negative</b> 19:15, 18
neither 36:8
never 18:12
23:21 24:14
<b>New</b> 3:6 31:14
<b>night</b> 10:10, 14
29:4, 5
nightclub 5:8
9:22 12:17 30:5
Ninth 13:10
<b>NITZ</b> 3:4 4:11,
<i>13</i> , <i>17</i> 5:6, 7 10:21
Northwest 3:6
<b>Notary</b> 36:3, 17, 19
<b>noted</b> 14:4 16:21
notion 8:2
<b>number</b> 17:18
< 0 >
objection 5:1
occur 23:18
occurred 21:16
occurrence 7:13
8:3 12:1, 14 13:2
<b>offense</b> 13:15
20:1, 21 24:17
32:2
offenses 28:2
<b>Office</b> 2:6, 14 30:17 33:12 34:4,
30:17 33:12 34:4,
6
<b>officer</b> 11:15
32:20 33:6, 9
34:1
officials 9:19
10:16 17:22

okay 4:16 10:19 11:2 21:5 27:14 once 8:10, 11 online 20:12, 16	20:1, 8 personal
11:2 21:5 27:14 once 8:10, 11	personal
1	_
1	petitions
	phone 3
23:16	Pierson
ordinary 17:22	place 1
organization	31:13
18:16 19:2	places
organizations	placing
16:12	Plaintiff
organizing 20:6	planning
orientation 19:13	platforn
original 23:15	plea 13
24:8	please
<b>Orlando</b> 5:8 22:9	pled 29
outlet 19:21 20:11	point 6
outlined 27:17	24:12, 1
	points
< P >	27:19
<b>packed</b> 10:13	Police (
page 2:22 23:4	16, 17
paid 34:4 35:1	political
<b>paper</b> 8:6 14:5	20:11
<b>Park</b> 16:12	position
participate 34:7	post 23
participating	24:8
33:21	posted
parties 1:22	posting
<b>party</b> 36:9	potentia
passionate 18:14	practice
19:9 28:5	precisely
pay 34:5	prepare
<b>people</b> 12:11 17:18 19:3 20:3	present
	<b>presente</b> 28:7
21:2, 18 22:11 24:4 30:18 31:13	1
performed 33:11	<b>presente</b> 6: <i>1</i> 14:
period 5:20 6:3	presiden
31:5, 21	31: <i>14</i>
person 17:15	pretrial
26:3 30:22 31:19	33: <i>3</i>
32:12	pretty 2
personal 24:18	prison
<u>-</u>	_
personal 24:18 personality 19:19	32:6, 6

20:1, 8
personally 24:20
petitions 30:11
<b>phone</b> 33:10
<b>Pierson</b> 13:10
<b>place</b> 16:22 18: <i>15</i>
31:13 32:14 33:4
places 22:7
<b>placing</b> 34:14
Plaintiff 1:6 2:3
planning 12:3
<b>platform</b> 17: <i>17</i>
<b>plea</b> 13: <i>19</i> 14:8
<b>please</b> 28:18
<b>pled</b> 29:14
<b>point</b> 6:20 10:22
24:12, 17 32:21
<b>points</b> 23:10
27:19
<b>Police</b> 6:8 9:14,
<i>16, 17</i> 12:20 16: <i>15</i>
political 14:15
20:11
<b>position</b> 8:6 14:5
post 23:15, 20
24:8
<b>posted</b> 16:13
<b>posting</b> 16:10
potentially 9:4
practice 21:14
precisely 26:3
<b>prepared</b> 11: <i>16</i>
<b>present</b> 1:21
presented 11:16
28:7
presentence 4:21
6:1 14:21 25:10
president 19:5
31: <i>14</i>
<b>pretrial</b> 27:3, 7, 11
33:3
pretty 27:3
<b>prison</b> 31:5, 21
<b>prison</b> 31:5, 21 32:6, 6 34:19

probation 11:15
14:10 18:6 26:15,
16 28:14 32:15,
18, 20, 22 33:6, 8,
12, 22 34:3, 6, 15
<b>problem</b> 34:9, 10,
11
proceedings 35:4
36:7, <i>10</i>
<b>process</b> 6:18 7:6
11:3
produced 18:19
Professor 20:22
23:13
program 34:4
progressed 25:13
promote 17:7
20:14
promoting 19:2 prompted 5:10
prompted 5:10
<b>prop</b> 8:7, 9
<b>properly</b> 11:15
prosecution 26:8
1 -
protest 17:10
23:16 30:12 31:1,
provide 21:6
24:10
provided 31:10
<b>provides</b> 11:2 <i>1</i>
<b>Public</b> 2:14 5:17
15:13 18:16 22:6
26:9 30:17 36:3,
17
published 17:16
<b>Pulse</b> 5:8 9:21
12:17 22:1 30:4
punish 17:7 31:6
punished 25:20
punishment 17:18
31:22
purposes 13:7
26:20 28:16
<b>put</b> 16:4, 18
26:11 32:5 34:19

	US	v.	Кy
<b>putting</b> 31:20	14:5		
< Q > qualify question 12:12 3 questions quick 10 quite 5:: quote 1:10,11 2 23:21	11:4 1:4 5:6:22 21 5:2, 9	7 8 9, 9,	:1
<r> random range 1: ranged reaction read 29: real 24: reality 1 12</r>	3:13, 5:22 5:13 :21 6, 6	15 5 7	
realizes really 7: realm 2 reason 1 24:22 3 reasons REBECO	:5 1:8 11: <i>11</i> 2:4 28: <i>1</i>	3	),
received 17:2 recipient recognize recomme 25:21	s 24 e 6:2 endat	l: <i>3</i> 2 <i>1</i> t <b>ion</b>	
reflect d reflected reflection regarding regular related relatively	1:14 18: <b>n</b> 14 <b>g</b> 34 21:14 6:3	8 :12 1:2 4 36:8	8

release 13:17
14:7 27:4, 7, 8, 11
33:3 34:3
released 18:20
remove 33:12
report 4:21 5:1,
<i>14</i> 6: <i>1</i> 11: <i>16</i>
14:2 <i>1</i> 19: <i>14</i>
25:10
Reporter 36:2
<b>reports</b> 18:10
represents 12:5
repressed 19:20
republicans 24:1
request 14:5
require 26:1
32:15
required 34:1
requirements 33:7
residence 33:4
<b>respect</b> 15:15
respectful 17:7
respective 1:22
responded 11:9
response 5:11, 13
6:16 11:2, 5, 7
restaurant 29:20
result 8:10
resulted 11:6
return 26:14
review 15:21
32:19
reviewed 4:21
29: <i>16</i>
rifle 21:20 28:10
<b>right</b> 4:20 5:3
6:9, 14 7:18, 20 8:20 11:13 18:3,
,
3 27:13 35:1
<b>rights</b> 17:11 19:1, 2
<b>Roe</b> 29:19
room 15:3
rude 21:14
1 uuc 21.17

<b>rules</b> 32:13, 17, 18 34:18, 18
<s></s>
<b>safe</b> 31:8
satisfactory 28:15
satisfy 26:20
saw 15:13
saying 11:1, 2
says 13:19 21:7
22:18 23:19 24:2
SCHMITZ 1:8
4:4, 10, 12, 15
5:11, 16, 20 6:8, 15 11:1, 9 12:10,
16 18:9, 11 19:9
21:21 22:2, 8, 16
23:9 24:12, 19
25:11 26:2 27:1
28:11, 18, 19
29:14, 22
Schmitz's 7:3
19:8, <i>16</i>
school 18:22
<b>Second</b> 24:12
see 32:3
seen 17:17 34:11
<b>Senate</b> 15:12
30:14
<b>Senator</b> 15:10
senators 15:1, 12
send 17:19 31:4
sent 16:14
<b>sentence</b> 14:10, 12 17:5, 6 18:6
17:5, 6 18:6
25:22 26:7, 12, 15
27:4, 15 32:10
sentencing 11:15,
19 14:2 26:20
28:16
series 27:16 31:2
serious 15: <i>15</i>
17:1 24:15 28:1
32:2

<b>served</b> 34:16
seven 18:18
sexual 19:12
Shirley 19:5
shoot 14:22
15:10 24:1
<b>shooting</b> 5:9 7:6, 16 21:17 22:1, 9,
17 25:2 28:10
30:5
shootings 5:9
<b>short</b> 23:3
<b>shot</b> 21:19
<b>showed</b> 10:11
shows 14:21
sides 13:19
sidewalk 30:21
significant 12:3
18: <i>13</i> 25:20
similar 15: <i>17</i>
17:8, 20 27:17
28:12
single 5:12 6:16,
17 7:5, 12, 13, 16
8:2, 3, 16 9:2
11:6 12:1, 2, 14,
<i>15</i> 13:2 15:22
16: <i>1</i> 27: <i>17</i> 31: <i>15</i>
<b>site</b> 16:10
situation 28:12
31:18
six 13:20 14:6
17:5 33:19
<b>six-month</b> 26:12
32:10
slaughtered 22:11
30:7
<b>smart</b> 33:10
<b>social</b> 17: <i>17</i>
18:14 19:8, 10, 19,
21 20:12, 20 22:3
26:19 28:5 30:10
33:16
<b>software</b> 33:9, 13
1

	US	v.	Ky.
solicitatio	n	16: <i>1</i>	1
sorry 29	:12		
South 16			
speak 4:	14	18:	11
20:21			
specific	16: <i>1</i>	4	
spent 27	:9		
spinning		:22	
splatter			
splattered	1 3	0:21	!
spontaneo	ous	5:1	15
11:5, 7			
<b>Square</b>			
<b>staff</b> 15:			
standard			
started 2		5	
stated 16			
statement			
statement			
21:3, 15	23:	12,	14
24:8, 11			
STATES	1:.	1,5,	
18 2:5, 6	4:	3,6	
7:12 8:6		3:9	
stay 33:1	14		
stop 26:	10		
story 18	:21	22	_
Street 2:		32:	3
streets 3			
strict 27		11	,
<b>strong</b> 1: 30:1	2:10	), 11	
stupid 29	0.10	)	
subject			
submissio			16
submits			10
16:7, <i>17</i>	15.0	,	
submitted	<b>l</b> 1	8.9	
19:4 29:		0.,	
substance		4:8	
sufficient	26	:19	
28:14 31			
sufficient			<u></u>
suggest			
		-	

28:7
suggested 15:7
suggesting 26:9
suggests 14:15
21:21 22:13
32:11
<b>Suite</b> 2:16
supervised 13:16
14:7
supervision 34:22
<b>support</b> 16:10
sure 6:6 12:16
34:9, 12
< T >
take 7:8 23:4
taken 23:14
tamper 33:13
tells 32:21
term 13:16
termination 33:1
terms 26:9 33:2
terrible 9:21
terrorism 28:2
testing 14:16 34:8
Thank 4:8 5:3, 5
7:18, 19, 21 10:19,
20 14:3 18:2
28:17 35:1, 3
thereof 36:11
thing 25:7 29:10,
22 things 12:21
<b>things</b> 12:21 30:10 33:15
think 10:16
12: <i>11</i> 22: <i>18</i> 25:9,
15 27:8 30:3
31:19, 20 32:7
33:14 34:9
third 19:22 24:17
threat 16:2 24:7,
<i>14</i> 31:2
14 31:2 <b>threaten</b> 9:18
<i>14</i> 31:2

threatened 14:22
30:20 31:7
threatening 8:16
9·2 5 21·3 9
9:2, 5 21:3, 9 22:18 23:18
29:15
threats 13:8 15:5
16.1 14 17.2 14
16:1, 14 17:3, 14,
21 21:12 22:16
24:21 26:10
27:18 31:3
three 12:4 14:6
15:1 23:10
three-year 13:16
<b>tied</b> 19:10
time 5:20 6:3
20:5, 6 21:4
27:10 31:5, 21
33:14
times 10:8
today 17:18
told 12:19 16:15
22:5
tolerated 18:1
34: <i>13</i>
top 27:21
topic 5:17
touched 5:16
24:15 25:12
<b>tragedy</b> 9:21
12:18 30:5
transaction 7:13,
<i>17</i> 8: <i>3</i> 9: <i>10</i> , <i>12</i>
12:2, 15 13:2
transcript 36:6
transgender 19:3
transmitted 15:7
16:2
treatment 33:22
34:3, 8
<b>TREXLER</b> 36:2,
16 30.2,
troll 21:13
trouble 18:13
18:13

true 36:6	<b>UNITED</b> 1:1, 5,	warranted 7:2
try 7:5	18 2:5, 6 4:3, 6	11:22
trying 17:12 23:6,	8:6 13:9	Washington 3:7
9 26:11	unknowable 17:18	way 11:9 15:14
<b>Turner</b> 15:19	unlawful 14:20	24:3, 5, 7 25:7
16:6, 20	17:21 24:10	30:10 31:16
tweet 6:4, 12 8:16	unusual 6:21	ways 25:20
9:2, 14 23:6	11:8, 10	Web 16:10
<b>tweeted</b> 9:17 15:8	<b>upset</b> 9:21, 22	wedding 25:19, 19
22:16	10:4	Well 4:20 6:3, 6
tweets 5:11, 19, 21	use 15:10 31:16	7:7 9:9, 11 12:8
6:2 8:17 9:5	33:10 34:12	13:4 21:7
11:6 12:13 15:7,		well-documented
13, 22 22:19, 20	< V >	20:2
23:1, 7, 8	<b>value</b> 20:16	<b>went</b> 6:8
<b>Twitter</b> 15:13	versus 4:4 8:6	we're 14:5
20:22 21:3, 8, 10,	13:10 15:16	west 21:1
15 23:12, 18	victimized 26:4	we've 18:6 19:4
26:10 31:12	<b>victims</b> 17:19	28:7
33:15	30:6	whatsoever 32:4
<b>Two</b> 12:3 19:7,	victim's 15:2	widely 17: <i>17</i>
18 32:14, 18 34:14	<b>view</b> 9:11, 13	wild 21:1
type 26:3 27:1	14:14 17:6 30:4	wit 36:1
typically 20:3	<b>viewed</b> 7:16	words 15:6 17:16
	views 12:10, 11	work 18: <i>16</i> 24: <i>4</i>
<u></u>	14:11, 13 30:1, 18,	world 17:14
<b>U.S</b> 6:8 9:14	19	worst 5:9 21:17
11:19 15:1, 10, 12	violations 7:8	25:1, 7
16:15	28:1	writes 19:8 21:7
<b>Uber</b> 25:18	violence 17:15	wrong 9:20
<b>unable</b> 25:18	<b>violent</b> 16:10	wrote 22:8, 10
unconstitutional	VIRGINIA 1:2,	
10:2	11, 19, 20 2:7, 9,	< Y >
underlying 26:21	17 36:1, 4, 18	<b>Yeah</b> 29:6
understand 12:9,	visceral 5:10	year 18:6, 22
18 23:5, 8 34:13,	visit 6:8	25:13 28:14
20	volunteered 19:1, 7	32:22
understanding	<b>voting</b> 30:11	years 14:7 18:18
13:18 18:7 22:21		19:7 32:14, 18
undoubtedly 19:10	< W >	34:15
Unfortunately	waive 34:1	young 18:12
20:12	want 14:1 29:8	19:11, 15
unique 11:8	33:19, 21 34:9, 12	_
20:19 26:22 28:9	wants 17:12	<z></z>
uniqueness 7:14		<b>zero</b> 26:6